



European Regional Development Fund

EUROPEAN UNION

Part II: Nitrate removal from drainage water and greenhouse effluent





European Regional Development Fund

EUROPEAN UNION

Moving Bed Bioreactor: Case study Belgium

Pieter Van Aken – KU Leuven Process & Environmental Technology Lab



Introduction: Moving Bed Bioreactor





- Moving-bed Bioreactor technology
 - Biofilm growth on AnoxKaldnes[®] plastic carriers (K5)
 - <u>Benefits</u>: Limited growth of biomass & high active biomass concentration
 - Treating high nitrate concentrations is possible





Tile-drained agricultural fields

- $50 200 \text{ mg NO}_3/\text{L}$
- High flow rates $(7.5 15 \text{ m}^3/\text{d})$
- November April

Greenhouse effluent

- 100 400 mg NO₃/L
- Low flow rates (3 m³/d)
- During the whole year

Design considerations

- \rightarrow Simple and robust system
- \rightarrow Low water temperatures (between 5 15 °C)
- \rightarrow Variable flow rates and nitrate concentrations
- \rightarrow Remote locations
- \rightarrow Low budget solution

Discharge limit: 11.29 mg NO₃-N/L

MBBR concept to treat agricultural waters







North Sea Region NuReDrain









Key numbers of 2020-2021

- Drainage season: 217 days (from October to May)
- T_{max} = 14.3 °C
- $T_{min} = 6 °C$
- Total treated drainage water = 2837 m³
- Flow rate: from 1.2 m³/day to 24.5 m³/day
- Average nitrate conc.
 = 30.7 mg NO₃-N/L
- pH drainage water: 6.54 ± 0.17
- pH MBBR effluent: 6.73 ± 0.16















50 Nitrate conc. (mgNO₃-N/L) **Moving Bed Bioreactor** • : Influent 40 \triangle : Effluent Influent 30 Average: 30.7 mgNO₃-N/L Min: 16.2 mgNO₃-N/L 20 Δ Max: 45.2 mgNO₃-N/L 10 $^{\Delta}$ Δ $\begin{bmatrix} -\Delta & \Delta & \Delta \\ \Delta & \Delta & \Delta \end{bmatrix}$ Δ $^{\Delta}$ $_{\Delta\Delta}$ 0 Effluent ٠ Average: 10.8 mgNO₃-N/L 30 (1/N^{-, ©}ON^gu) . Min: 0 mgNO₃-N/L Max: 39.9 mgNO₃-N/L Δ Effect on surface water Vitrate conc. 10 If the removal efficiency is low, 5 the nitrate concentration of the 0 surface water increases 225 25 50 75 100 125 150 200 0 175 Time (days) At high removal efficiency, the nitrate concentration after the • : Surface water before MBBR - : Discharge limit \triangle : Surface water after MBBR MBBR is similar or lower than Surface water at measuring point from the Environmental before the MBBR.

Field Case – Greenhouse (DIY-concept)





European Regional Development Fund EUROPEAN UNION







1. What is a MBBR?

A Moving Bed Biofilm Reactor (or MBBR for short) removes nitrogen from water by converting nitrate into nitrogen gas by means of biological processes. A MBBR consists of a tank filled with water, in which plastic carriers are located that are set in motion (Photo 1Photo 1). The irregular and large specific surface area of the carriers forms an ideal habitat for various micro-organisms (Photo 2Photo 2). On these carriers grows active sludge (biofilm) and this carries out the denitrification.

A MBBR requires little maintenance and is simple to construct yourself with the help of this information sheet.



Photo 1: Set-up of Moving Bed Biofilm Reactor (MBBR) at PCS Ornamental Plant Research

Information sheet: "How do I build my own MBBR?" - Version date 28/05/2020 Drawn up in connection with the Interreg North Sea Region project NuReDrain. No part of this publication may be reproduced without the prior written permission of PCS.





Conclusions



- Underground MBBR: temperatures higher than 5°C
- Mixing is very important: Improved removal efficiency from 70% to 87%.
- The nitrate concentration of the surface water is similar or even lower when the MBBR achieves high removal rates.
- Total cost efficiency: 103.4 €/kg NO₃-N







European Regional Development Fund

EUROPEAN UNION

Zero Valent Iron for N and P removal

Adrian Florea; Hans Christian Bruun Hansen

Environmental Chemistry

Department of Plant and Environmental Sciences

University of Copenhagen



The Nitrogen wheel





Interreg North Sea Region NuReDrain European Regional Development Fund EUROPEAN UNION





Zero valent iron filter





- Objectives: to develop a filtration system that can remove nitrate (NO₃⁻) and recover nitrogen as ammonium (NH₄⁺) from agricultural drainage water.
- Field scale setup and principle 4 Fe⁰ + NO₃⁻ + 10 H⁺ \rightleftharpoons 4 Fe²⁺ + NH₄⁺ +3 H₂O
- Filter constructed of three units:
 - Section 1: ZVI unit + sand; 45 kg ZVI
 - Section 2: Oxidation (air bubbling)
 - Section 3: Ammonium capture (zeolite);
 pre-treated with NaCl; 70 kg zeolite
- Agricultural drainage water flow: 1 L/min
- Retention time: 35-45 min for each unit



ZVI

Zeolite



1000 1200 1400 1600 1800

- High NO_3^- removal efficiency regardless the initial nitrate concentration (3 to 8 mg/L nitrate
- Average NO_3^- reduction for the entire running period: 94%

Pore volumes





Nitrate is converted to ammonium



- NO₃⁻ is converted to NH₄⁺. 100 % at start and then at about 70 % at end of the period
- Similar results as in laboratory experiments
- Incomplete conversion could be due to production of unmonitored nitrogen gas species (NO₂, N₂O, N₂H₄)

Results - 3





Ammonium capture



- Almost 100 % NH_4^+ retained in zeolite over the entire running period
- No decrease of NH₄⁺ retention as in laboratory experiments
- Higher efficiency of zeolite layer, as in laboratory experiments







Removal of iron(II)



Fe(II) measured at inlet and outlet of column 2





- 100 % of iron(II) removed through oxidation in the aeration section
- Iron(II) oxidized and iron(III)oxide ("rust") precipitated (yellowbrownish)



Phosphate is 100 % retained





HPO₄²⁻

- No phosphate was detected in the outlet from column 1 and 2
- Inlet phosphate concentration: 0.5 mg/L

Results - 5

• Phosphate sorbed to the "rust" formed and thus is fully retained





Green rust formation in ZVI unit





- Green rust (GR) is an unstable corrosion product that forms in the ZVI unit.
- GR facilitates reduction of nitrate to ammonium and reduces the mass of ZVI needed
- GR may also contribute to phosphate sorption





Investment and operationnal costs

Investment cost

	Price	Amount needed/ha/year (2000 m ³ drainage water)	Price/ha/year	Removal and recovery/ha/ year
ZVI	0,85 — 1 €/Kg	72 Kg	60 – 72 €	100% Nitrate removal
Zeolite	2,5 – 3 €/Kg	500 Kg	1250 – 1500 €	70% Ammonium formation + retention
Filter system + tubing + pumps	2000 €		2000 €	14 Kg N retained
Total:			3500€	

Operational cost: electricity



Pros

- Nitrate can be completely removed, even at low concentrations and low temp. ✓
- Ammonium can be recovered enabling nitrogen to be recycled \checkmark
- Phosphate is fully removed and can be recycled \checkmark
- Iron(II) formed during ZVI corrosion can be oxidized and removed \checkmark
- The unit advantageous for production facilities such as greenhouses \checkmark

Cons

- Nitrate removal can decrease due to passivating ZVI corrosion layers X
- Oxygen in drainage water will also consume ZVI X
- Reduction of water generates H₂ (gas formation in column) X
- Maintenance: requires aeration (pump) X
- High iron consumption X

Improvements

- Smaller ZVI particles to increase reaction efficiency
- Remove ZVI corrosion layers
- Recycling of phosphate





Interreg North Sea Region NuReDrain



European Regional Development Fund

EUROPEAN UNION

Moving Bed BioReactor and constructed wetland for drainage water Case study Belgium

Dominique Huits Inagro







West Flemish agriculture in figures

- ✓ 8300 farms good for 200.000 ha or 65% of the total surface area
- \checkmark 63% of Flanders' production of vegetables
- ✓ 49% of Flanders' production of arable crops



 Interreg

 North Sea Region

 NuReDrain

 European Regional Development Fund

- New field for field trials
- Drainage to be installed
- Nitrate losses from field drainage are an important issue to get under control



Can a constructed wetland be (part of) the solution?

From idea to design



Interreg North Sea Region NuReDrain European Regional Development Fund EUROPEAN UNION



1. Reservoir to collect irrigation water

2. Determination of the location for the constructed wetland

3. Design of the drainage system

4. Design of constructed wetland

Design of constructed wetland and woodchip basin



 Interreg

 North Sea Region

 NuReDrain

 European Regional Development Fund

EUROPEAN UNION



Denitrification units installed











MBBR





Wetland

Woodchip filter



Results MBBR winter period 2020-2021



North Sea Region NuReDrain European Regional Development Fund EUROPEAN UNION



CarboST dosis : 0,13 L/h during the whole period

01/12/2020 Start drainage season MBBR flow 1,5 m³/h

08/02/2021-18/02/2021 Due to frost internal recirculation of MBBR

18/02/2021 MBBR flow 1,5 m³/h

03/03/2021 MBBR flow 2 m³/h

17/03/2021 MBBR flow 2,5 m³/h

Results MBBR winter period 2020-2021



Interreg North Sea Region NuReDrain European Regional Development Fund EUROPEAN UNION



Wetland 180 160 140 120 Nitrate (mg/l) 100 80 60 40 20 0 510312022 23/02/2022 11222020 111012021 221012021 510212021 191021021 810312021 2910312021 231031202 Date Drainage water Pond Wetland out

01/12/2020 Start drainage season

19/03/2021 End of drainage season

Results MBBR winter period 2020-2021



Interreg North Sea Region NuReDrain European Regional Development Fund EUROPEAN UNION







First results of MBBR and wetland are quite good

But

- > Only one year of experience
- Will this work at catchment level



European Regional Development Fund EUROPEAN UNION

Q&A





European Regional Development Fund

EUROPEAN UNION

Part III: The bumpy road of phosphate recovery and reuse







European Regional Development Fund

EUROPEAN UNION

Reuse of saturated filter materials as fertilizer for ornamentals and vegetables

Els Pauwels

Ornamental Plant Research (PCS), Belgium

Project goals



European Regional Development Fund EUROPEAN UNION



Problem statement



European Regional Development Fund EUROPEAN UNION





P-removal – Column tests



- PO₄-P solution: 0.5 ppm P
- Bed height: 14 cm \Rightarrow corresponds with a bed volume of 150 mL
- Temperature: 20 °C
- Flow rate: 0.66 L/24 h





Available: ICS (Iron coated sand) :

- Waste product from drinking water production
- Good removal of P rich drainage waters
- High conductivity of filters (depending on size of particles)
- (Sufficiently) available and (relatively) cheap

• Reuse as a fertilizer without treatment?

P recovery



Direct reuse as P fertilizer

• Pot trials done on Azalea, Lavender, Boxwood, Hedera, ...



P strongly bound to FeO, not available for the plant

PSB



Schematic diagram of soil phosphorus mineralization, solubilization and immobilization by rhizobacteria



- Predominant bacterial PSB's (sharma et al, 2013):
 - Pseudomonas spp.
 - Bacillus spp.
- P SOLUBILIZING POTENTIAL depends on :(Sharma et al, 2013)
 - Iron concentration in the soil
 - Soil temperature
 - C and N sources available

Addition of PSB



• PSB = Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria







Endive:

growth chamber experiment + pot experiment Use of ICS as a P – fertilizer Use of PSB's Evaluation of commercial products

Maize: Pot experiment Evaluation of commercial products

Trial PCS: 14 different plant species





European Regional Development Fund EUROPEAN UNION











Trial PCS: As addition to the substrate? Chlorophytum



• Evaluation at end of trial (16/07/2018)



rooting 5 (left) – rooting 7 (right)

			Fresh weight (13	Visual plant
	# rootings trough pot	rootscore 1-7	plants)	quality
With ICS	8,3	6,2	333,13	9
Without ICS	8,5	6,2	310,37	9

Exceptions



Chrysanthemum



• Petunia



Chlorophytum



left without ICS – right with ICS

20 plants/treatment

- 1. Control
- 2. 30% ICS grains
- 3. 30% pellets

Trial 2020

European Regional Development Fund EUROPEAN UNION

Trial 2020

Flowering on the 15th of October: left standard, middle 30% pellets and right 30% ICS grains

Least Squares Means

Least Squares Means

Other possibilities to use ICS?

Thank you

European Regional Development Fund EUROPEAN UNION

- Subscribe to our newsletter: <u>https://northsearegion.eu/nuredrain/news/</u>
- Els Pauwels- <u>els.pauwels@pcsierteelt.be</u> +32 9 353 94 88